From: LeGrant, Matt (DCRA) [mailto:matthew.legrant@dc.gov]

Sent: Wednesday, October 26, 2016 2:01 PM

To: Batties, Leila M J (WAS - X72583) < Leila. Batties@hklaw.com>

Subject: RE: Washington Gateway PUD / Florida & NY Avenue, NE / Meaningful Connection Through Bicycle Lobby

Importance: High

Leila Batties-

By means of this email I agree with the analysis and summary below, and as shown on the attached plans, and specifically that the atrium/bike lobby between the north and south towers constitutes a meaningful connection such that they are considered one building.

Please let me know if you have any further questions.

Matthew Le Grant Zoning Administrator- DCRA 1100 4th ST SW, Washington DC 202 442-4652 Matthew.legrant@dc.gov

From: Leila.Batties@hklaw.com [mailto:Leila.Batties@hklaw.com]

Sent: Monday, October 24, 2016 2:00 PM

To: LeGrant, Matt (DCRA)

Subject: FW: Washington Gateway PUD / Florida & NY Avenue, NE / Meaningful Connection Through Bicycle Lobby

Importance: High

Good afternoon, Matt.

I hope that you had a good weekend.

In its setdown report for ZC Case No. -06-14D, OP requested your confirmation of the email below. Can you please provide as soon as possible? We intend to file our prehearing statement on Wednesday, so it would be ideal to have by then.

Thanks, Leila

Leila Batties | Holland & Knight

Partner

Holland & Knight LLP

800 17th Street N.W., Suite 1100 | Washington, DC 20006

Phone 202.419.2583 | Fax 202.955.5564

leila.batties@hklaw.com | www.hklaw.com

Add to address book | View professional biography

From: Batties, Leila M J (WAS - X72583) Sent: Friday, May 06, 2016 5:00 PM

To: LeGrant, Matt (DCRA) < matthew.legrant@dc.gov>

Cc: Cochran, Stephen (OP) < stephen.cochran@dc.gov >; Matthew Robinson < mrobinson@mrprealty.com > Subject: Washington Gateway PUD / Florida & NY Avenue, NE / Meaningful Connection Through Bicycle Lobby

Good afternoon, Matt.

This email is a follow up to our meeting on Wednesday, April 27th, where we discussed the proposed modification of Phase 2 of the Washington Gateway PUD, and requested confirmation that the multi-story atrium/bike lobby proposed between the North Tower (residential) and South Tower (office) establishes a meaningful connection between the towers such that they can be considered a single building. A copy of the relevant architectural drawings/plans reviewed during the meeting are attached.

The atrium is a significant feature of the PUD that will function as a connector between the Metropolitan Branch Trail (MBT) and the plaza in the center of the project. Located within the South Tower, the atrium will serve as a special place dedicated to the pedestrians and urban cyclists. The North Tower will have a direct connection to the atrium on the second floor with is the same level as the MBT trail. By connecting the trail to the plaza (east/west) and connecting the North and South Towers (in the north/south direction) the atrium will create a meaningful space for the various users of the towers and the community to interact.

In light of the foregoing and based on your review of the attached plans, you determined that the atrium/bike lobby between the north and south towers constitutes a meaningful connection such that they are considered one building.

Your reply confirming that this communication accurately reflects our discussion is greatly appreciated.

Best Regards,

Leila Jackson Batties | Holland & Knight
Partner
Holland & Knight LLP
800 17th Street N.W., Suite 1100 | Washington, DC 20006
Phone 202.419.2583 | Fax 202.955.5564
leila.batties@hklaw.com | www.hklaw.com

Add to address book | View professional biography

NOTE: This e-mail is from a law firm, Holland & Knight LLP ("H&K"), and is intended solely for the use of the individual(s) to whom it is addressed. If you believe you received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender immediately, delete the e-mail from your computer and do not copy or disclose it to anyone else. If you are not an existing client of H&K, do not construe anything in this e-mail to make you a client unless it contains a specific statement to that effect and do not disclose anything to H&K in reply that you expect it to hold in confidence. If you properly received this e-mail as a client, co-counsel or retained expert of H&K, you should maintain its contents in confidence in order to preserve the attorney-client or work product privilege that may be available to protect confidentiality.